



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,509                                     | 09/16/2003  | Tetsuya Kondo        | MM4320CON              | 4642             |
| 7590 09/03/2004                                |             |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| ANDERSON KILL & OLICK, P.C.                    |             |                      | DINH, TAN X            |                  |
| 1251 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020 |             |                      | 、ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 11011 10111, 111                               | .0020       |                      | 2653                   | 1                |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 09/03/200 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | ٦        |  |  |
|---|---|--|----------|--|--|
|   | 10/664,509  | KONDO ET AL.   |          |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   | $\dashv$ |  |  |
|   | TAN X. DINH   | 2653   |          |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  |   | vith the correspondence address  | T        |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   | MANTHE STORY   |          |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atule, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | - 45-    |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |          |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _  |   |  |          |  |  |
| 24/   | his action is non-final.  |  |          |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo  |   |  |          |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde   | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.   | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |          |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic  | ation.  |  |          |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |          |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are rejected.   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an   | d/or election requirement.  |  |          |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |          |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam   | niner.  |  |          |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |          |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to   | the drawing(s) be held in abey  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |          |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | e Examiner. Note the attach   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.  |          |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |          |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore   | eign priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |          |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the  |   | en received in this National Stage   |          |  |  |
| application from the International Bu   |   | American   |          |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | list of the certified copies no   | ot received.   |          |  |  |
|   | * 3 *   | an   | ٠.       |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | •   |  |          |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | , +   | v Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date  |          |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/2003.</li> </ul>  | /   | f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |          |  |  |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/664,509

Art Unit: 2653

1) This application is a Continuation Application of S/N 09/668,719, filed 9/22/2000 and now is US 6,676,791; which is a Divisional Application of S/N 08/619,426, filed on 3/21/1996 and now is US 6,154,442.

- The preliminary amendment filed 9/16/2003 is acknowledged. Claims 1-10 have been canceled. New claims 11-15 are currently been added.
- 3) Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/619,426, filed on 3/21/1996.
- 4) The I.D.S filed 9/16/2003 has been considered by the Examiner. However, the Japan and/or foreign document(s), if they have not been written in English, are considered to the extent that could be understood from the English Abstract and the drawings.

Form PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 is(are) attached herein.

5) The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970) and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 10/664,509

Art Unit: 2653

application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6) Claims 11-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,442. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

The claims (11-15) in this instant application recite the same features as claimed in claims *I-4* of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,442, except that the second information-recording surface having second pits or guide groove rather than second pits alone. It would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have both recorded pits and guide groove in claims *I-4* of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,442. The rationale is as follows: the guide grove is inherent in every optical disk for tracking purpose, without this guide groove, the optical pick up can not record or reproducing information from the disk, therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to have both recorded pits and guide grooves in claims *I-4* of U.S. Patent No. 6,154,442 as claimed.

7) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure ( See form PTO-892 attached herein ).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,509

Art Unit: 2653

Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims ( if the rejection involves with any applicable arts ), the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR §1.111(c).

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN X. DINH whose telephone number is (703) 308-4859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN DINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 2, 2004